Sixth Appellate District

San Jose, California

MONDAY, MAY 21, 2001

H018775 SMITH v. RAE-VENTER LAW GROUP

We reverse the judgment and remand this matter to the trial court with instructions to determine whether Smith was unsuccessful in his appeal under the rule we have announced, and, if so, to determine and award RVLG's costs and reasonable attorney's fees. We also reverse any subsequent order or judgment awarding Smith his costs, and we order Smith's memorandum of costs stricken. RVLG shall have its costs on appeal. (published)
(Wunderlich, J.; We concur: Premo, Acting P.J., Bamattre-

(Wunderlich, J.; We concur: Premo, Acting P.J., Bamattre-Manoukian, J.)
Filed May 21, 2001

H022353 PEOPLE v. DANIEL B., a minor

The disposition order is modified to strike two months of the maximum term imposed on November 6, 2000. The clerk shall prepare an amended commitment order setting the minor's maximum term of confinement at 7 years, 10 months. As so modified, the dispositional order is affirmed. (not published) (Elia, J.; We concur: Premo, Acting P.J., Mihara, J.) Filed May 21, 2001

H020625 WERSHBA v. APPLE COMPUTER, INC.; CONSUMER ADVOCATES, et al. v. APPLE COMPUTER, INC.; FRANCIS X. DOHERTY, et al.

The judgment certifying the class, approving the class settlement and awarding attorney fees is affirmed. (published) (Bamattre-Manoukian, J.; We concur: Cottle, P.J., Wunderlich, J.) Filed May 21, 2001

H020314 ABRAHAMSON, et al. v. BRUNO, et al. H020968 ABRAHAMSON, et al. v. BRUNO, et al.

The judgment and the order denying the motion to vacate the judgment are affirmed. Respondents shall recover their costs on appeal. (not published)

(Cottle, P.J.; We concur: Bamattre-Manoukian, J., Wunderlich, J.) Filed May 21, 2001

Sixth Appellate District

San Jose, California

Monday, May 21, 2001 (Continued)

H021438 AMALGAMATED TRANSIT UNION LOCAL #265, et al. v. SANTA COUNTY VALLEY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY CLARA The judgment is affirmed. (not published) (Premo, Acting P.J.; We concur: Elia, J., Mihara, J.) Filed May 21, 2001

TUESDAY, MAY 22, 2001

H019790 PEOPLE v. VENZON, JR.

By the Court*:

Appellant's petition for rehearing is denied.

Filed: May 22, 2001

*Before Cottle, P.J., Elia, J. and Mihara, J.

H021510 LAMAR v. CITY OF MOUNTAIN VIEW

By the Court*:

Appellant's petition for rehearing is denied.

Filed: May 22, 2001

*Before Cottle, P.J., Elia, J. and Mihara, J.

H021251 PEOPLE v. MC GOVERN

The judgment is affirmed. (not published) (Elia, J.; We concur: Premo, Acting P.J., Mihara, J.) Filed May 22, 2001

WEDNESDAY, MAY 23, 2001

H020480 PEOPLE v. MONTOY

The judgment is affirmed. (not published) (Elia, J.; We concur: Premo, Acting P.J., Mihara, J.) Filed May 23, 2001

H020659 PEOPLE v. REATEGUI

The judgment is affirmed. (not published) (Elia, J.; We concur: Premo, Acting P.J., Mihara, J.) Filed May 23, 2001

Sixth Appellate District

San Jose, California

THURSDAY, MAY 24, 2001

H021464 PEOPLE v. PATTON

The judgment is modified to strike the \$400 restitution fine under Penal Code section 1202.4, subdivision (b), and the \$400 restitution fine under Penal Code section 1202.45. As so modified the judgment is affirmed. (not published) (Elia, J.; We concur: Premo, Acting P.J., Mihara, J.) Filed May 24, 2001

FRIDAY, MAY 25, 2001

H019906 OKUN v. CITY OF SUNNYVALE

The judgment is affirmed. (not published) (Wunderlich, J.; We concur: Premo, Acting P.J., Bamattre-Manoukian, J.) Filed May 25, 2001

H020676 PEOPLE v. BUTRON

The judgment is affirmed. (not published) (Mihara, J.; We concur: Premo, Acting P.J., Elia, J.) Filed May 25, 2001

H020474 CAGLE v. CAGLE

The judgment is affirmed. (not published) (Elia, J.; We concur: Premo, Acting P.J., Mihara, J.) Filed May 25, 2001

H022017 PEOPLE v. GONZALES

The judgment is affirmed. (not published) (Mihara, J.; We concur: Premo, Acting P.J., Elia, J.) Filed May 25, 2001

H022215 PEOPLE v. KASHI SOUTHALL

The judgment is affirmed. (not published) (Mihara, J.; We concur: Premo, Acting P.J., Elia, J.) Filed May 25, 2001